

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,675		03/29/2004	Hirokazu Yamagata	0756-7276	1165	
31780	7590	11/21/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
ERIC RO	DBINSON	1	HU, SHOUXLANG			
PMB 955						
21010 SO	UTHBAN	IK ST.		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
POTOMA	AC FALLS	s, VA 20165		2811		
				DATE MAILED: 11/21/200	DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,675	YAMAGATA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shouxiang Hu	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-24 and 31-47 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11,17,23,35 and 41 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-10,12-16,18-22,24,31-34,36-40 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	s/are withdrawn from consideration	on.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	arniner. Note the attached Office	ACION OF IONI PTO-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>10/073,285</u> . ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/810,675

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

According to previous office actions, claims 1-3, 5-24 and 31-47 are pending in this application; and claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-16, 18-22, 24, 31-34, 36-40 and 42-47 remain active in this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-16, 18-22, 24, 31-34, 36-40 and 42-47, as being best understood in view of the claim objections above, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA (Applicant's admitted prior art) in view of JP'781 (JP 11-224781; 08/1999; of record).

AAPA discloses a light emitting display device (Fig. 2 in the instant disclosure), comprising: a thin film transistor (202) on an insulating surface; an interlayer insulating film (203) over the thin film transistor; an anode (205; ITO) over the interlayer insulating film; a wiring (204) electrically connected to the thin film transistor and the anode; a bank (208) over the wiring and a portion of the anode; a light-emitting compound

organic compound layer (206) over the anode and an upper surface of the bank; and a cathode (207) over the organic compound layer.

Although AAPA does not expressly disclose that the device can further include a first insulating film between the anode and the organic compound layer, JP'781 teaches to include such an insulating film in order to improve the uniformity of the light-emitting compound layer and to reduce leaking current therethrough (see the first insulating layer 109 in Fig.1), wherein the first insulating layer (109) can be as thin as less than 5 nm and can be formed of a polymer through coating (see paragraphs 0010-0017 and 0022-0024), which thus can be naturally regarded as an organic resin film.

Therefore, it would have been obviously to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the first insulating layer of JP'781 into the device of AAPA, so that a light-emitting device with reduced leaking current would be obtained. And, with the first insulating layer being laminated entirely with the lightemitting compound layer as incorporated in a structure such as that in Fig. 2 of AAPA, which would advantageously require no additional patterning mask(s) specifically for the first insulating layer, the first insulating layer in the collectively taught device would be also naturally positioned over the upper surface of the bank therein, as the light-emitting compound layer does.

Regarding claims 7-8, 13-14, 19-20, 31-32 and 37-38, it is noted that the average surface roughness (Ra) of the anode is an art-recognized resulted-oriented important parameter subject to routine experimentation and optimization; and that a low Ra such

Art Unit: 2811

as in a range of 0.85 nm or less for the anode is always desirable in the art, for further reducing any potential current leakage.

Regarding claims 9, 15, 21, 33 and 39, it is noted that each of the cited insulating materials is commonly used in the art to form an interlayer insulating film.

Regarding claims 10, 16, 22, 34, 40 and 43-47, it is noted that it is art-known that the bank can be formed of a hardened resist/resin film that naturally includes the recited element(s) and is naturally insulating. In fact, the bank in AAPA is formed of a resin, which would have be to hardened (or hardened from a resist-like precursor) in order to remain to be sufficiently firm and stable; and it thus can be naturally regarded as a hardened resist/resin film that naturally includes the recited element(s). In addition, it is noted that any process limitations recited or implicated in these claims would not carry patentable weight in the claims drawing to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced. In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-16, 18-22, 24, 31-34, 36-40 and 42-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is 571-272-1654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,675

Art Unit: 2811

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SH

November 15, 2005

SHOUXIANG HU PRIMARY EXAMINER